

yet there was very strong criticism, including the wording that was adopted by the Exec Board to be placed on the ballot, that it was too complex. If we would have made it simple, we would have been accused of having written something too simple and not covering all the changes. It was a no win type of situation, but nevertheless it was complex. Then, finally, and this is going to come up in more detail to be discussed. But the issue of replacement, numbers that, I don't have them here with me, but since the exemptions started and the aid to local government started, if you go back to the beginning of that,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WARNER: ...I'll...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Madam President, members. Senator Warner, you can have some of my time. I'd like to ask you a question at this time, and then you can finish. I simply want to be sure, I haven't had a chance to completely read LB 1. Is there any changes in that from the original 1063 beyond the one mentioned by Senator Moore about the...not having to report the market value of personal property? Is there any other changes at all, so I can be clear in a concise statement.

SENATOR WARNER: The other things that are not included in LB 1 that were included in LB 1063 is one, the "inseverability", or "nonseverability", or reverse severability clause, it's all the same thing, but referred to in different ways by different people. That is not repeated. And then those items that Senator Moore mentioned, which I would identify as obsolete as things now stand. And then there are some provisions that were enacted in 719A that are not included here, and there is not a cloud of constitutional issue there. And it was simply unnecessary to repeat those again because 719A is valid.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: If I could just briefly finish. I know that there are figures being put together, or have been actually put together which shows the amount of increase in aid, which is in excess of \$600 million that has gone back to relieve local property taxes. And I know that the study or the report will show that because of that 635, I believe, million, 600 plus