

Amendment 1, and he said, no. No, the constitutional amendment was necessary even to have accomplished what he thought was better public policy at that time. Finally, I want to mention on the figures, repeatedly it was said, and I'm not going to argue about the ads, but repeatedly it was said, at least by myself, that when we were making estimates we were dealing with statewide figures. And as you began to break those figures down to the governmental subdivisions it became more of a guess work, more of a likelihood that there could be shifts occur. There isn't any question in my mind, and I'm sure there isn't any question in any of you who have studied the numbers, that in some areas that are residential, and the implication has been for Lincoln and Omaha, but there will be a number of communities across this state where residential taxes will go down significantly as a result of the constitutional amendment. And the shift will be to farmers. But, nevertheless, in some cases it is going to be accurately stated that there would be a reduction in those residential taxes in some locations, depending upon the particular governmental subdivision, what the composition of the various classes of property, real and personal, exist within that governmental subdivision. Finally, I'd like to point out, as I recall from the newspapers, the largest contributor to the enactment of CA1 was a group that was composed of a whole series of small taxpayers. The largest contributor came from a group that's composed of farmers and livestock people, and hardly as a group can be accused of big time, some kind of big time business. Obviously, there were others who contributed significantly, but that was not the only people who contributed to the campaign costs for CA1. Also, I would point out, and I suspect Senator Moore will know this, that the other side of where there is a tax increase is the spending side. And to what extent that taxes go up, what percent of that is attributable to increased spending I'm not criticizing, but the facts are that some of that increase can be attributed to the spending as well as to any change that may or may not have occurred. Finally, there was a lot of criticism of CA1 because it was complex. And I can recall many people making speeches or reading articles about the fact that it was complex. Well, it was a complex issue. And a complex issue, when it's attempted to be...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: ...explained in simplified language, is going to have some oversight in that explanation, it's inherent. And