

application. And that's why two of the provisions that were brought as amendments to LB 1 have been put into LB 3, they are technical changes. The original LB 3 was gutted, and a couple different amendments that were brought to the committee, when LB 1 was introduced, have been adopted to that bill and sent out. It is the intent of the Revenue Committee, and I think I can speak for the committee as a whole, when we discussed this in Executive Session the other day, that, like LB 1 or not, and there were members of the committee who don't and who intend to vote against it, that amending it does not accomplish that end, that the best method for opposing LB 1 is to oppose it straight up. And that in order to affect change in the law, LB 3 is out there. It has the ability to be amended. It does make, right now, what I consider a couple of technical changes to 1063,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...and it also allows for any additional changes that the body would see fit in the form of amendment. I would urge you to keep LB 1 clean, and do any changes that we'd like to see take place in the legislation on LB 3. Again, I would urge the advancement of LB 1 over to E & R, and then on to Select and Final in the form that it was introduced. Thank you, Senator Warner, and thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Mr. Clerk, are there amendments on the desk?

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Lamb had an amendment printed on page 60 of the Journal. I have a note that he wishes to hold that over until Select File consideration. Madam President, Senator Moore would move to amend. Senator, AM15S.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Madam President, members, in the spirit of, I don't know, I don't like the word compromise. But in the spirit of getting beat, bludgeoned already on this issue in the ballot box and in here, now is not the time to refight the issue. I agree with Senator Warner and Senator Hall, let's move the bill over in its pristine form. The one issue that is in here, I think, that it's very accurate, and it should be, is the issue of statutorily removing the requirement that people report market value. That was something the Governor said to the people of the State of Nebraska and the county assessors could