

necessarily opposed to that in some cases. In some cases, I am. But you don't have anything else and I guess, you know, if you look at it, as Senator Landis would, as an economic issue of \$600,000 as opposed to, you know, the way I...I would view it as finding out from the people whether or not they think that we should examine our tax system and deal with personal property or whether or not they enjoy the MAPCO decision, because others seem to think that they understand it extremely well and there won't be a problem. Maybe they do. Maybe they do. This clearly is not putting anybody's feet to the fire as a closing, let me tell you, but what it does do is say we need some time to deal with this issue. A special session, you know, within less than two weeks of a landmark decision by the Supreme Court to decide whether or not you put a constitutional amendment before the people, one that strips uniformity from the Constitution is ridiculous. I support this because I can find out where the people are on this issue without throwing the uniformity clause out the window within our Constitution at a special election. That's why it gives us a little time. I think it's the responsible thing to do. Otherwise, I guess, get ready to answer your phone. It may ring either way but it's clearly going to ring when personal property tax goes back on the rolls. All it does is take LB 829, that you already voted on, 35 members voted on, endorsed the concept of a one-year proposal to repeal personal property tax exemptions. The question that's out there is whether or not it's constitutional. What we do for a two-year time frame is change the Constitution or at least ask the people to of this state so that that provision is protected while we deal with the whole system. Others think it can be handled overnight, that we should stay here, that things are going to get better. They never get better unless you have new information, have more parts of the puzzle to finish it. You're dealing with half a puzzle. You're never going to finish it in this session. All this does is add the final pieces and, frankly, it only adds part of them because what you're going to do is you've got a little puzzle now, you're going to have one of those huge 1,000-piece jobs that take years to finish. We need about 18 months to get it put together. I would hope that you would take a look at this. If it's rejected, if there aren't 40 votes for it, there's enough reds up there to keep it from passing, we should go home.

SPEAKER BAACK: You've heard Senator Hall's closing on the motion to return to Select File. We'll now vote on that motion. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record,