

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay.

SENATOR HALL: That's correct. And I...

SENATOR PIRSCH: And you think...

SENATOR HALL: ...helped put it together.

SENATOR PIRSCH: And by doing this, we will have 40 votes for something. Is that...is that the thinking?

SENATOR HALL: I, frankly, Senator Pirsch, I don't...it doesn't sound like it. (Laughter.) But I can add, I did get that far. But the purpose for doing this is again to endorse what this body has agreed to with 33...35 votes in LB 829, in essence, allow the people to endorse what we have already done and then go about the process of establishing the changes in our tax system that we need to do, make those changes as a group in this body, create the changes in tax policy we like to see. And then if those require constitutional changes, present those constitutional changes to the people after they know what we have done. What we would do otherwise and what we decided not to do otherwise was to shoot in the dark, throw the dice and hope that they come up sevens, I guess, I don't know. But...

SENATOR PIRSCH: I...

SENATOR HALL: ...my argument is that all this is is it is doing only thing, making sure that we don't have lawsuits that attack what we did in LB 829 for the tax year 1991...

SENATOR PIRSCH: Right.

SENATOR HALL: ...and '92.

SENATOR PIRSCH: This also extends that then for another year.

SENATOR HALL: It only extends the exemption portion. Senator Will is absolutely right when he argues, I worry about where the funding is going to come from. He knows as well as I do that I sure am not going to support letting it come out of real estate taxes. I'm going to fight to put that funding back in the way it was, whether I support it or not. But, at this point with this proposal and my name on it, I'm clearly going to support