

valid, is that we want to...we want to deal with the...with what the...with the property that the MAPCO decision dealt with. And that's why I'm limiting it to that area. I think that the courts will eventually take care of the 775 exemptions. If they're asked the right question, they will definitely take care of those exemptions also and they will take those off and say that those exemptions are unconstitutional. I think that will happen and I think it will happen probably fairly soon. As soon as they're asked the right question, they will do that. But I think we should wait until they do that and then we...and then we deal with that situation. Rather than trying to throw this into the mix of the things that we're doing now, I think we wait and let the courts make that decision. One of the things that you need to know procedurally is if we...if we do go...if we do do this and we go back and we take that amendment off, then we do have to extend the session. That simply happens. It has to be extended because we have amended a bill that has to then go to...go up to and be engrossed and then it has to come back to us, we have to have a lay-over day and we have to do all those things. So, procedurally, that does happen. That's no threat or anything, that's just...that's just something that does have to happen procedurally if we do do this. So I would...I would urge the body to leave the...leave the bill in the order that it is now. I think that those...that the courts will deal with those exemptions in due time and I think that those exemptions will be thrown out at that point. So I would urge you not to vote to return the bill and not to take this amendment off the bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Speaker Baack. Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Madam President, I, for one, will be supporting returning to Select File. I hate to get us obligated into another day and so on. But I returned to Grand Island yesterday afternoon, last evening, and I will tell you I don't think that the reason...I was a supporter, no one talked to me, I was not there to support so to speak monied interest or to pick one group or one person against the other. I made that decision on my own. I thought it was the right decision. It's no secret. I've had lots of reservations about LB 775 but I didn't think that we ought to muddy the water with two different issues. I will tell you the public is getting more and more concerned, more and more testy and what I have found that in no way can I support these exemptions. And, frankly, what I think did it to us was the news media, the headlines on the Omaha World-Herald,