

are applicable or modify all of the provisions in Article VIII and in the Constitution. It is truly in the nature of a technical and saving amendment and I understand that Bill Drafter's has prepared it and they are simply having problems with their printer. So if they can get their printer going, we will have an amendment to submit to you and I hope it is noncontroversial.

SENATOR HALL: Madam Chair, Madam President, if I could, in other words, Senator Wickersham, the amendment that I have up in front of the Clerk is the amendment that does not...is not the right amendment, correct?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That would be...

SENATOR HALL: We are waiting for the redraft.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That is correct, Senator.

SENATOR HALL: Right, so we could pull this amendment and speak to the LR till that amendment comes down?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR HALL: Rather than exercise the body on this amendment that isn't the appropriate one.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, I would respectfully ask that amendment be withdrawn.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. We will continue discussion now on the motion to advance LR 1. Senator Wesely, followed by Senators Lindsay and Abboud. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Madam President. I rise in opposition to the advancement of LR 1CA. I do so reluctantly. As I mentioned, the proposal by Senator Chambers, which would have provided for 25 votes to repeal exemptions rather than the 30 votes, which now was adopted by the Lindsay amendment, makes all the difference to me. It is the difference between my support and my opposition. Now it may be a small matter, but in my view, it determines the philosophy of this body. The philosophy I have is a broader tax base is better, narrowing the