

would require that in order to override a Governor's veto of these particular types of bills it would require a two-thirds vote from the members. In other words, 33 votes, instead of 30 votes. Just as with a regular 25 vote bill, it requires 30 votes to override the veto. In this particular case we are making it a 30 vote deal in the Legislature. And following the logic of an ordinary bill, at least to a certain extent, requiring 33 votes in order to override a veto by the Governor. I share Senator Warner's belief that requiring 30 votes instead of 25 votes probably is not going to make a whole lot of difference. I think what's going to happen next year is basically what happened in 1971 or 2, when these changes were originally made. Those people who support inventories, all those variety of business interest that support inventories, exemptions, will get together with all those variety of interests that support the other exemptions, including most prominently the rural agricultural exemptions, and they will come in here and exert the same kind of pressure that was exerted once before. And 30 votes probably will not be that difficult to raise, unless the attitude of this Legislature has changed more than I think it has. The basis upon which people will come in here for their exemptions will not necessarily be a fairness or equity argument, it will be a lot of power politics, that's part of the system. I mean we expect it, we know it's coming, and that's all right. But if there is going to be a measure of equity, or measure of fairness, or something different it going to come out of this whole process this time around, it's going to be for one reason and one reason only, and that's because the Governor of this state will demand something different. I think the Governor of this state is interested in tax reform, he campaigned on it. I think he is interested in fairness and equity. I think he is interested in the small person, the common man, the common woman. And I think that he is inclined to intervene in this process. But even should he be so inclined, I'm not sure he would have the power to do that in this context, because the same 30 votes that would pass the bill would be the same 30 votes that would override his veto. And so he would have no leverage. I think the issue probably would be out of his control without further amendment to what we're doing today to give him an additional measure of control. That's basically my philosophy, or my view of what's going to happen, or what we need to do to make things change just a little bit for the average person. Having said that, I also wanted to take a little time to clear up one issue. It seems, in the last couple days, that the press has played heavily on the fact that,