

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR ASHFORD: ...as it applies at least to the classes that we create within the large class of personal property.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Ashford. Senator Warner, you're next.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'm going to support the amendment as it is offered. I firmly believe that if the Constitution is once again to be amended to ensure...to repeal the uniformity and proportionate clause relative to personal property, that there needs to be some kind of extra effort required on those who want to utilize that uniformity clause exemption to be utilized. And I think it's fair, accurate to do it either way. I offered what I would consider a more restrictive type of amendment that was adopted for a few days, as I recall, during the regular session, maybe it was a few hours as I think back. But I'm willing to support this option, if this is one which seems to be acceptable to more people. I do think it's fair and logical that the vote works the same either way. Actually, to tell you how I really feel, I'm not sure 30 votes make that much difference, because I can think of hardly any exemption that hasn't been enacted in this area, there was some I guess, but most of them have been done with 30 votes, because it was override a veto. So I think the 30 vote there, in most cases, is going to be there anyway. But I think it does require some additional support in the legislative branch of government to even get it passed the first time. And I think that adds a measure of protection with the repeal of the uniformity clause.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Bernard-Stevens. Oh, excuse me, Senator Bernard-Stevens. We do have an amendment to the amendment. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Beutler would move to amend the amendment. (Beutler amendment appears on pages 120-21 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, what this bill would do, or what this amendment would do would be to follow, logically, what we do in the case of other bills, and it