

not this personal property tax goes back on the rolls on January 1, 1992, basically because it's outside the call. It may...we may....And I think we do have to expand the call, it would seem to me maybe another seven days. Maybe I'm wrong there. But it seems to me we would have to in order to affect this change. So that's a concern that I have. The third concern that I have is one of procedure. And I think that Senator Hall has made this point over and over. And I think it's got some interesting side lights to it. The voters are really, as I see it, are not really going to be...know what they're voting for. They are...it's going to be unclear, I think, to the voters, certainly within the 60 days that it takes prior to the vote, for people to know...those people who want personal property tax back on the tax rolls, whether to vote yes, or vote no. It's very unclear. And that's why I, myself, I supported Senator Beutler's amendment on the inventory, and then my amendment on a 50 percent, making it clear to the voters that we are going to put all of the personal property tax back on the rolls, at least at 50 percent, so the voters know what they're voting for. Now, in effect, the voters don't know exactly. If they vote yes, they're giving it to the Legislature, which I think, with a 30 vote...Senator Wesely's point about the 30 vote to lock in an exemption, I think the voters have got to realize that they're voting for exemptions. And they may be voting for exactly the status quo, they may not be, but they should know...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR ASHFORD: ...they should know that. And that's a concern that I have. Certainly already we have ex...we have...right now it takes 25 votes to get rid of an exemption. That's been hard to do, but with 30 it's certainly going to be a lot harder. And I think Senator Wesely's point is an excellent one. And I don't have time, I guess, to ask Senator Lindsay this question, but I do have a problem with getting rid of the uniformity clause totally as it relates to personal property. And maybe Senator Lindsay can answer this. Are we getting rid of uniformity totally as it relates to classes of personal property? And, if we are, I have some problems there, and I think that needs to be discussed, because I think uniformity does have a purpose. And I agree that it's been strictly construed in our...by our court. But I think we have to take a look at it...