

constitutional amendment before we're even talking about this. And actually if you get the constitutional amendment the first off, get the 40 votes to get that on the ballot here, which may be impossible; secondly, if you get it to the public, you've got to remember you say you have little faith in the voters. But yet, you know, twice in the 1980's every county in the State of Nebraska voted to give farmers the tax break. That happened twice that's happened now. Excuse me, 8-1-1990 was the other one. Ninety-three counties did it both times. And so don't totally underestimate the voters there. And if, indeed, they are of the opinion everything needs to go back on the tax rolls, then so be it. That's what the court was going to do to us anyway. I guess my attitude of, you know, Senator Schmit, if you get the Constitution changed to allow you to permit this, you and I will probably agree at that point in time. But I think at this point in time to ignore everything else, do this and say we fixed it, you know, you can go home and protect yourself and say I didn't do any harm to you. But in the end I think they're going to get exactly what you say you don't want them to get, and that is to have, in my opinion, is going to have the court throw these exemptions out, as they have already. And I don't necessarily think it's an interstate saying the real property was out of the picture. You know, we don't know that, but it probably is. On the other hand, the court also said you've got to put things back on the tax rolls. That's what they said, and said that very clearly. And to guess and talk about somebody, that was the interstate, Senator Schmit, the interstate, the flashing lights saying get off here, actually a road block saying you can't go down the road anymore, you've got to go down the road block to put things back on. And it certainly to say that that road block says that 829 will work, it certainly never said that. If it did say that, I would agree with you. If it did say that, we wouldn't need a constitutional amendment, and you and I could hope we get 25 votes to continue 829, because we can probably agree, as would Senator Dierks and Senator Wehrbein, that is certainly my preferred method than putting everything back on the tax rolls. That wasn't in the direction the court gave us, it certainly wasn't in the ruling the court gave us, I don't think. But neither one of us will know. I don't know why we argue about it, because actually we agree, we don't want to put that personal property back on the tax rolls. But I guess I'm of the attitude we have to find some way to get 40 votes and put something on the ballot is the only way you can be sure. And your method certainly may work, and actually the odds are with you on being right. In the event