

July 17, 1991

LB 6
LR 1

then we have to reinstate the language, that is my understanding of it. And what it is, it was added by Bill Drafter's to make sure that we recodify this so that everything is the way it was prior to the constitutional amendment being before us. That's my understanding.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well,...

SENATOR HALL: I could be wrong.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Hall, it says, Section 61, then it goes to Section 5, then it goes to Section 62, then it goes to Section 6, then it jumps over to Section 65, but...

SENATOR HALL: Right.

SENATOR HABERMAN: But there is no Section 63. I don't know whether this is in a form that we should be fooling with it or not, I just thought I'd call this to your attention, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Well, thank you very much, Senator Haberman, I appreciate your dutiful consideration, not only as a member of the Revenue Committee, but as a member of this body.

SENATOR HABERMAN: You're too nice, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: You're right.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Haberman. Senator Elmer.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Madam President and members. Many of us in here would vote for LB 6 if the constitutional amendment is guaranteed to be on the ballot. Senator Hall, could I ask you a question? Senator Hall, after hearing what I just said, that many of us would vote for LB 6, if we know the constitutional amendment is going to be before the people. Would you, as the Revenue Committee Chair, ask the Speaker to be sure that LR 1 is first on the agenda for Final Reading Friday morning?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Elmer, I would do exactly the opposite. I would argue that LB 6 should be...and if you want me to I'll explain why. It's your time, if you want me to I'll explain why I would do that.