

it showed up on the books, there was an exchange of wealth, in other words they leased it to their business for purposes of using that equipment, then it would be taxed as business equipment. That is why I asked, you know, does Mr. Bongers charge an admission to get into his museum, because that may trigger that assessor to say it is used for profit or gain, personal profit or gain. If he has it there, has it open to the public, anybody can come in and it is just his to show off, then I think he has got a grand argument to say you can't tax this. It is mine, and you can't tax it. I am not making any money on it.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Haberman, followed by Senators Elmer, Schimek, Robak, Will, and Schmit. Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Hall, I have a question, please.

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Hall, on page 72 of this amendment, it says after Section 61, then it says, Section 5, then it says Section 6 of this act as amended by Section 62 of this act shall become operative on January 1, 1995. Explain that to me about this 1995 bit.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Haberman, the provision that you point out has to do with conservation districts.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I can't hear you, may I have a hammer, please, Madam Chairman.

PRESIDENT MOUL: (Gavel.)

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President. The section that you point out, Senator Haberman, on page 72 has to do with conservation districts, and what the bill does, or the section, I guess it refers to Section 6 of this act as it is amended by Section 62 of this act, and that is why it jumps up front there, and reinstates provisions in Section 5, sunsets language that deals with conservation districts,...

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, what is that?

SENATOR HALL: ...and if the constitutional amendment passes,