

I would not feel as being responsible to the voters in my district or to the constituents or to the people in my district if I voted for an amendment, all in one day, that I really don't know what it does. And I don't think you do either, and I don't think anybody out there behind the glass does. This is irresponsible to compress this into such a short time frame that we don't know what we are doing, and that is exactly what we are being asked to do, adopt something that we don't know what it is. I read part of it. I have got questions about it, and it is a little bit more significant than what is a band. But I just don't think we can do it. I am not going to do it. Now we have all got other schedules that we want to meet, and we don't want to remain here, and I don't want to remain here, but I am willing to either stay here or come back again or preferably wait until January. I cannot believe that there is such a crisis that we cannot wait six months to solve it. I cannot believe that is true. You talk to ten different lawyers, you will get ten different answers as to that question. Is there a crisis that we have to solve in two to three days here when we really don't know what we are doing, try to solve? No, there is not. There is not, in my opinion. Maybe there is, but I would rather take the chance of having a crisis that we should have taken care of now then vote for a bill that I don't know what is in it and create other problems that I don't know what they are. I am not going to do anything that rushes the process to the point that I don't think this body knows what it is doing and I don't know what I am doing.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Lamb. Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. Most of what I would have said has already been said by Senator Schmit and Senator Warner, but I would like to carry that discussion just a little bit further, and that is to look, I think, for just a little bit, if, in fact, the worst case scenario is played out and all or most of the personal property, farm, business, or whatever, is put back onto the property tax rolls to be valued for tax purposes in the counties of Nebraska, that that method must be, must be a fair and equitable method within the different or between the different owners of property. Now to carry out Senator Schmit's dialogue just a little bit when he was talking about the problems that were inherent with a schedule basis is that if we would allow centrally assessed property to be continue to be valued for property tax purposes based upon the owner of that property's