

exchange obligate the state to the degree that the resolution would call for, then vote it, but make it clear that's what we're voting for and that's the maximum we can expect. Say that we hope for more but we have no assurances, I cannot agree to that. We would not benefit the farmers at all. Cargill is not doing this to benefit the farmers. Cargill is trying to get the best deal it can to set up its plant and then it's going to buy its corn as cheaply as it can wherever it can get it. And if it doesn't buy a kernel from a Nebraska farmer, there is nothing this state can do about it once they have gotten into the deal. But I would like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question before I sit down.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wehrbein,...how much time, Madam Chair, do I have?

PRESIDENT MOUL: You have a little less than two minutes?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: This may not give you enough time, Senator Wehrbein, but I will give you the rest of the time to react to the amendment that I offered, changing those words.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes. I understand what you're trying to do, Senator Chambers, and I think we all know what a resolution is and is not particularly binding. I still would prefer to keep the stronger language in and that is that we'll take action rather than consider. Perhaps there is not a great difference in the wording. But I want to remind people that I take this very seriously and even though we understand a resolution is a resolution and that a statute is a statute, all can be changed. I tried to assure each one of you that I take this very seriously when we do this and if this...this is based...this incentive will be based on performance of this particular company in this case. And so when we will take this action we are going to have the assurance that they will be there. But I'm taking you at your word, much as I operate day to day on words in most all the agriculture industry and others that we will look at this and we'll take the proper action and that we will be having this plant there. So I would prefer to keep the present wording and would oppose Senator Chambers' amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you. Senator Chambers, you have a little over a minute.