

July 16, 1991

LB 6
LR 1

SENATOR HALL: ...and they are probably going about this, knowing Jack Mills and the County Officials Association, they're beginning this process probably regardless of what we do. They are at least examining it. They clearly aren't sitting on their hands waiting to see what we do.

SENATOR CROSBY: Okay.

SENATOR HALL: And they are, you know, being I think very responsible about this.

SENATOR CROSBY: Yeah.

SENATOR HALL: What we can do through LB 6 is give them better guidance, better tools to work with and facilitate the problems that they face in implementing MAPCO than them trying to use existing statutes of uncollected taxes and going through a very convoluted process to get the same job done.

SENATOR CROSBY: Right. Okay.

SENATOR HALL: We're trying to help them out as best we can. None of us like the situation we have...they have been placed in.

SENATOR CROSBY: All right. Quickly, LR 1, if it is not put on the ballot, we still...LB 6, because of the Supreme Court decision, we need some kind of mechanism for people to work with in the counties.

SENATOR HALL: That's my belief.

SENATOR CROSBY: Okay. I just want to say one...thank you, Tim,...

SENATOR HALL: Uh-huh.

SENATOR CROSBY: ...I appreciate your repeating it again. I want to say one more quick thing and there were some remarks made again about homeowners. But a lot of my homeowners...I guess I will be possessive today and call them my homeowners, they're older people who have retired, they're widows who their home is left and one of the reasons I voted against LR 1, people are talking about intangibles, a lot of those older women there's always so many older women who are left alone and they