

July 16, 1991

LR 1

you wish to do with the bill? (Laughter.)

SENATOR HALL: In my official capacity, Senator Warner, I'd move the bill be advanced to E & R for engrossment.

SENATOR WARNER: Is there further discussion? Is there...E & R initial. Further discussion, Senator Elmer.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Mr. President. You know we've talked about a lot of things in here. Some of them are...make some sense, and others I have question about. But I think it boils down to this, are we going to put all personal property back on the tax rolls, eventually, like the Supreme Court suggested, or are we going to take it all off? There is various scenarios been proposed. People have talked about requiring 30 votes to make an exemption. Well, that wouldn't be bad. But, if we're going to need 30 votes to create an exemption, I think we need 30 votes to create a tax. I'd be willing to vote for that. Been talking about starting with all property off, and then add...have the 30 votes to add it to taxable. Start with everything on, including intangibles, and then take the 30 votes to take it off. I could vote for that. So whether or not we are going to advance this constitutional amendment really doesn't depend on whether you want it all on, or whether you want it all off. It depends on whether you think we need to be able to treat tangible personal property in a different manner than the Constitution allows us to now. And I believe we need that constitutional authority, or we're going to continue to have what we've had ever since I've been here for five years, litigation, and court, and throwing out, and trying to add in things that we know we can't do. We know that inventories is unfair to be there. We know that intangibles is impractical to be there. And any one of these we have to have this kind of authority in order to handle. When we come back here in December or January, whenever you come back, we're going to have many of these questions we're going to address. But if we don't pass this, if we don't pass this and let the voters take care of it for us and help us with it, and give us their direction, the 1991 tax year is pretty much in limbo. We don't know whether 829 is constitutional or not. Some say it is, some say it isn't. Some say we need to do something, others say we don't. Well, for sure if we do this, it's going to be a helpful tool for future legislators in how they handle the difficult question of tangible personal property. If we're going to stay in line with the states that surround us and stay competitive, and are