

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay, just...and I see the Clerk standing here with this look like, yes, it's done right and so I'm assuming that it...well, I just...I bring the question because I'm having trouble finding where it's at and I know that the bill drafter does a wonderful job of doing that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah. Perhaps I misled when I spoke...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: That's...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...by saying page 4 at the beginning. That's probably my fault.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay, very good, thank you. In terms of the first time that I look at this and I take it, I go, this isn't too bad, I kind of like this. It's kind of getting to the point of where I would like it because the speech that I gave yesterday talked about we shouldn't have any personal property and if I can get a few more things out of there, you know, if I can get a few more people to agree, maybe I will get a couple more exemptions out and pretty soon I will have exempted everything out and my ultimate goal will be served in that we'll get rid of this demon called personal property tax. But, unfortunately, if we can't do all of it, we fall back into the same rut. And the purpose of amending the Constitution is to give you a blueprint and not to solve problems. The Constitution isn't something that is the magic answer that makes everything go away. It's a blueprint on how this Legislature...it is the rules of the game of how this Legislature should make its laws and how the court should interpret it and how the average citizen is going to react. The more that we put in here, I would think if we would add just a couple more exemptions, we would easily get 40 votes and that would solve our problem because everyone...everyone of us, everyone of us that have been home in the last weekend, people have walked up to you and said, are you going to fix that tax deal? Going to get that thing fixed? Going to get her solved? And everybody is looking for solutions and answers but what gets lost in here is the policy and the policy has got to be what makes a good blueprint. Now, at my first glance, I would jump at this in a minute. This would be great. And maybe what Senator Withem, with his wisdom, and I think probably is...he's seen enough of those disputes, knows that ultimately that might be where this will head, but I'm still not sure that that's the