

light is the next one on.

SENATOR HALL: I'll just wait until we're done debating the Chambers amendment and see what comes up next.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Schmit, did you wish to debate the amendment, the committee amendments?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. Speaker and members, I guess I'm a little bit surprised that Senator Chambers would bring up this issue, because notwithstanding the multitude of words that have been printed and the comments that have been offered in relation to solving the tax crisis in Nebraska, I don't think I've ever heard Senator Chambers quoted, and you have not made any suggestions prior to today, Senator Chambers. But it is interesting that you would raise this issue, because I believe that by raising it you have pinpointed what would certainly be one of the first challenges to come down to the amendment via the court system. I have, within a few miles of Lincoln, many years ago, purchased some property. I was offered a modest sum of money to lease that to some wealthy individuals here in the city for purposes of hunting and fishing. I chose not to do so, in those days I was better off financially. If the offer were made today, I'd probably take it. But I guess I want to ask you a question, Senator. If I were to rent the hunting and fishing privileges on a piece of property for a certain sum of money, would that then, in your opinion, remove the exclusive...would that mean it was no longer eligible for this kind of exemption, in your opinion?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You mean if the main use of the land was for this...they're going to hunt and fish...

SENATOR SCHMIT: No, no, just the normal hunting and fishing use of it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, it's used for agricultural and horticultural purposes.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If my term, if the word "exclusively" was included, I don't think hunting and fishing would qualify as