

airport. That is not the intent of the Airport Authority. There also is a secondary issue to this and that involves the rental car companies. The rental car companies pay, to have an on-airport location, pay a percentage of their...actually their gross prof...or gross revenues in order to have an on-airport booth and many of those companies also have parking facilities. They are not now charged additional fees for the parking facilities. They're charged to the on-airport and that's...and that's how they're charged. There's a side issue here apparently and that is that the...some of the rental car companies are concerned that unless there is a fee charged to the private parking companies that somehow they would be the...somehow the rental car companies would be discriminated against because they're paying a fee to be on an airport. It's my understanding, however, that the Airport Authority what they are looking at doing would be to be charging all of the parking facilities whether they are connected with the rental car company or not a fee separate from the rental car operations. So, as I understand it, that is the issue. Where my...I have two concerns, one is a legal one. The case law in this issue that I have seen that has been presented to me almost unanimously finds that an Airport Authority, in this case, does have the authority to charge a fee and that a legislative enactment to block their efforts to do that would be suspect or could be constitutionally suspect. That's the first concern. The second concern I have is that this is a turf battle between the Airport Authority and private parking interests. And, as I indicated a couple of times before, I'm sympathetic to the concerns of these private investors who invested in this land for these parking facilities and it's a concern that would also extend to the consumers who utilize those facilities. It's my understanding that the parking at the Airport Authority it's the intent of the Airport Authority to charge reasonable rates for their parking, to try to be competitive with these other lots and to not drive them out of business. But those are all issues that are part of this bill. My concern is that if we go ahead and pass this bill today without further information on what the Airport Authority is intending to do, what the economics is of this, I think would not be appropriate even though I have supported this in the past and I've had an opportunity to read some of these cases and look at this again. I think there is an issue...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.