

SENATOR WILL: I'm not aware of any plans by the Airport Authority, at this point, to put in any charges.

SENATOR CHIZEK: Well, I...I want something a little more assuring than that.

SENATOR WILL: I'm not in a position to give you a direct guarantee.

SENATOR CHIZEK: Is anybody here?

SENATOR WILL: I don't know.

SENATOR CHIZEK: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chizek. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Following up on Senator Chizek's questions, I think that's enough for me. This bill would prohibit that. There is no reason why we cannot come in next session and have a little more assurance of who will be charged and when they will be charged and how they will be charged if, indeed, the Airport Authority has plans to do that. And I don't know why they would be upset if they did not have plans to do that. I see Senator Hall coming in and I know that this was his amendment, so maybe he'll want to defend that. But, I guess, as for me, with no assurances, I think I'll stick with LB 126 and always be open for next year.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President, and members, I rise to catch my breath and to oppose the motion to return to Select File. I don't...I mean, the issue is not a new one. It is not one that hasn't been before the body. It's Final Reading on the 59th day in terms of trying to advance LB 126. LB 126 includes two issues, a change in the rules of the road issue that Senator Elmer had in the original bill he was kind enough to let me amend and then the provision that deals with the charging for a fee for use of the right-of-way in an Airport Authority, that being the issue of doing nothing more than charging a private held company, a privately owned company for purposes of using the driveways in the airport. If that is the case, it will not only be for those privately owned companies but passengers who