

these findings I won't even have to get into, and then any problems I have would be with the operative portions of the bill, if there are any. But I've got to read a little bit more.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, right now that's the only amendment that I'm going to offer.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I appreciate Senator Lindsay's desire to deal with the question of spending in campaigns. And I think the original bill, LB 556, sure goes a long way towards meeting a lot of my concerns. And Senator Lindsay takes it a step or a leap beyond, depending on how you look at it. The question I'd have of Senator Lindsay would be, one of the questions I asked you last time this issue came up was the application of these limits, or the 50,000 or whatever, as I recall was placed on like a four year term, and the Baack limits under 556 are on the one year of the election that you're in. Is that correct? Am I still....Did you change this in this amendment?

SENATOR LINDSAY: That's correct, Senator.

SENATOR WESELY: So, in fact the limits, though they're the same, are the limits exactly the same?

SENATOR LINDJAY: Yes.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, but what Senator Baack says is the limits are applicable to the election year, and yours is to the term, which of course...

SENATOR LINDSAY: That's correct.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, I appreciate that. For that reason I have a lot of concerns about that, and I've already expressed them to Senator Lindsay. He has helped a lot with some of the deductions that are applied here. But what you're really doing is you're taking the overall limits, and then for those of us that are officeholders, that have funds and then utilize them, for instance, I looked here, if you take constituents out for