

SENATOR LINDSAY: Limitations on what they can be spent for?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes, there are.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, now if a person is in business is there any limitation under the law on what that persons' money can be spent for?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Generally not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, if you're going to draw an analogy, or make a comparison, why are you going to try to compare two things when they are intrinsically or essentially different, the ones in business can spend their money any way they want to, the ones with the campaign money cannot.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Well I should, I guess, back up and say that if you have a corporation, yes, there are limitations what it can be spent for, that meaning...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What we...go ahead.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ...meaning payment of dividends to shareholders of income, those types of things.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, if I read this...I think it says small businesses. How many small businesses do you think pay dividends to their shareholders?

SENATOR LINDSAY: I believe that's how a lot of them would take income out. They'll pay a lot with salary and with dividends, depending on the structure, how many people are involved in the small business.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But your comparison is not to corporations really, but to small businesses. Would you be opposed to striking Section 13?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Not necessarily, no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if you...if...if...I have an amendment to do that and what else I was going to say with reference to some