

the original bill and that would be, that would be found on page 34 in line 17. With that committee amendment it says each front-seat occupant in the vehicle is wearing an occupant protection system. I would strike that word "front-seat" and it would say, each occupant in the vehicle is wearing the protection system and then this language would be added which would be new. Each occupant not wearing an occupant protection system shall be guilty of a traffic infraction and I use that term traffic infraction because that is what is referred to in the bill to define or describe the offense committed by the driver. So I'm going to ask Senator Horgan a couple of questions since he is the introducer of the bill, and he's also an honest man. Senator Horgan.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Horgan.

SENATOR HORGAN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Horgan, the first part of the amendment would take away that front-seat terminology that would then require all occupants to be wearing an occupant restraint. Would you agree with that?

SENATOR HORGAN: That it takes away the requirement?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, it, yeah, it takes away...see, with that word in, the only ones who have to wear the restraints are those in the front seat.

SENATOR HORGAN: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: By striking front-seat it then reads, each occupant in the vehicle shall wear one of these restraints.

SENATOR HORGAN: Yes, that's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, do you agree with that amendment that would make it necessary that all occupants in the vehicle wear the restraints?

SENATOR HORGAN: That would be the intent of your amendment, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, okay. Then the second portion says that each occupant not wearing the restraint would be the one charged