

fine because of what other people are doing. So why should he even bother to wear a seat belt either if that was his inclination? So what this amendment would do is to say that the driver is responsible for the driver. If the driver does not wear one of these restraint systems, then the driver is the only one who would be punished. There is no reference to other passengers because, by compromising in the past, rear seat passengers have already been excused, cab companies don't want that provision in. So in disregard of the safety considerations, backseat passengers don't have to wear safety restraints of any kind unless they're little babies. So this amendment, then I will sit down and I hope you adopt it, is for the purpose of making the driver responsible for what the driver does and not responsible for what other people refuse or fail to do. I hope you will support this amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the closing on the amendment by Senator Chambers. We'll now vote on that amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk. Record vote has been requested.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See pages 2121-22 of the Legislative Journal.) 18 ayes, 23 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment fails. I will raise the call.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend. (The Chambers amendment appears on page 2122 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Clerk, is the one at page 34, line 4?

CLERK: Page 35, line 4, after the word "reasons".

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, I'm dealing with an issue that we touched on in a peripheral manner earlier today when Senator Lamb had his amendment. I supported Senator Lamb's amendment which would exempt these farm-plated trucks. What this amendment would do is strike all of lines 5 through 8, and it means that rural letter carriers, since we're