

arms that is irreparable damage, I understand that, but don't accuse me of trying to sneak one by people. I said in the beginning that's exactly what I was up to. There's nothing crafty about my amendment. It's a simple amendment. As with anything, if you change anything in statute, you have a repealer clause on the end. And so I, I mean I understand why Senator Lynch and Horgan want to accuse me of doing something sinister. I'm not. I'm trying to wed the two issues together as I've always said they should be. As far as Senator Withem's concern about this great specter of what happens if the people of the State of Nebraska, I mean they repeal it, if you want to read the statutes, 32-702, the first line in that in a referendum law in the State of Nebraska, the form of petition for a referendum upon any act or any part of any act passed by the Legislature, people can repeal all of an act or part of an act. There's nothing confusing about it there about what happens if they repeal it. Obviously, if they have it, once again, if they have a referendum process and they repeal the whole bill, it would not make much sense because you'd go right back to 69-211 (sic) as it now is, 39-6,211 as it now is. If those forces would want to do that, what they would want to do is repeal the law but not repeal that section of the law which is the repealer because you still have the repealer clause in there. I mean, it's nothing sinister. I tried in my opening to tell you exactly what I was doing. If that offends anyone, I understand that. You can oppose me. Don't accuse me of trying to hide anything. I said it in the beginning and if I...if I was wrong in answering Senator Lynch's and Senator Withem's question, because you had me believing I was doing something sinister, because I was...I had to look it up. Since I am a senator and now no longer a staff person, I don't actually draft all these with my own pen, and I apologize for that, but there is a portion of the motorcycle helmet law that is not repealed. That 39-6,210, which are the legislative findings; 211, 212, 213 are the meat of the helmet law, they are changed slightly in my amendment. The original sections are repealed, yes, but if you read my amendment, it doesn't, by itself, repeal the helmet law. It places it in statute as it always has been with a minor change of the chin straps. And so I apologize for the confusion, but I want the body to know it's not me doing the confusing here. It's the other side. It's not me trying to sneak anything on anybody in any way, shape or form. The amendment is drafted straightforward, as it should be. I told you what it did and I know Senator Lynch doesn't like this and I understand why he's...