

could understand that. Ernie opposed it and I can understand that. What I don't understand is we don't seem to want to develop straight talk in here to actually help us understand why. The reason for this, I'm sure you're not worried whether people have a visor on their helmet or they have the right kind of strap on their helmet, what you want to do with this is to, if it goes to a vote of the people, hopefully in this particular case you also change the motorcycle helmet law to a comprehensive law to no real motorcycle helmet law at all because people will no longer then be mandated to wear a motorcycle helmet. I would suggest that this is a very cleverly written amendment that does irreparable harm to our state, that challenges a law that's already been in existence, that tries to play games to try to get something into a Constitution in a very clandestine way and I think it's a rather shoddy procedure and I hope we do not support this amendment.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers yields to Senator Moore. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, Mr. President and members, I, once again, the reason I was very confused in trying to answer Senator Lynch's question, I didn't know what he meant by my amendment or the repealer. Once again, Senator Horgan and Senator Lynch were actually able to confuse me. My amendment does exactly what I thought it does, exactly what I said it does in my opening. It repeals...it amends 39-6,211, 212, 213. It leaves the helmet law in place. Yes, Senator Lynch, if the people of the State of Nebraska have a referendum process, if there's the signatures and if it goes on the ballot, yes, if they repeal it, they repeal them both. That's what I said in my opening. There's nothing clever. There's nothing cute. I said exactly what I was doing and I guess Senator Withem and Senator Lynch and Senator Horgan are making it sound like, by my repealer clause as in the bill, which are perfunctory as anything we do in here, every green page in the bill books has a repealer clause, there's nothing unusual about that at all, and I said in the beginning what exactly I was trying to do. There's nothing confusing about it. If anybody's confused on the floor, it's the opponents of my amendment. They don't like it. I understand that, trying to confuse people. This is a very simple, straightforward amendment. It makes a minor change in the helmet law. It places the entire helmet law in with the seat belt law. If the people of the State of Nebraska choose to repeal it, it all does go away. I'm sure in Senator Lynch's