

think is being done here. Whenever you have an existing provision of law and you amend it, then you are required to repeal the original section, as your current bill does. Your current bill mentions the original sections that exist before you amend them with your proposals, and it says those sections are hereby repealed. You must do that so you don't have two conflicting statutes on the books. If you don't repeal the original, that remains on the books as the law. Then this change that you're bringing about here is also put into the law and you have conflicting statutes. So what our bills always say, if they're properly drafted, is that the original section that is being amended is hereby repealed and all it means is that that original section of law will no longer exist in its original form. It will now exist in the amended form here. And in order to see the difference between what is in the statute after amendment and what it was before, you go to those books that give...that they give to us showing the session laws and courts will go to these to trace the history of a statute as it is changed down through the years. When this law becomes effective, if it should pass, whatever pertains to the sections that Senator Moore is amending will be placed in that part of the statute. Whatever pertains to the sections you're amending will be placed in that part of the section, so there are parts of this bill which will be divided out and placed in different parts of the statute books. And my understanding is that any time a statute is put before the public by way of referendum, the only thing they're voting on is what was enacted by the Legislature in that particular law. So nothing can be subjected to a vote under a referendum that is not contained in the statute. So this part I do think I understand that Senator Withem said, and if he said this, I agree with him, if this whole LB 958 were repealed, then everything that has been put into it by way of amendment would be repealed and only that, but the original sections that were attempted to be amended remain intact. None of the sections you tried to amend by 958 would be wiped off the books. None of the original sections that Senator Moore is amending would be wiped off the books. The only thing wiped out would be these attempts to amend the law and any new language that you may have put in that doesn't amend a particular section. Now to say something on the bill itself. I have opposed motorcycle helmet laws and this amendment, having just been placed on my desk, has not been analyzed by me yet, but I would tend to support it since I'm opposed to helmet laws. And if these seat belts or passenger occupant restraint systems or protection systems, whatever the terminology in the bill is,