

of this body. So, with that, I would...I would urge that the agenda not be overruled.

SENATOR CONWAY: Senator Morrissey.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, again, to make my points for overruling, the arguments weren't clearly made for voting against 72 and that's traditionally been the case in this issue and thus we couldn't combat them. But the arguments are a bad precedent. We did discuss that. It's a special precedent, one that would not easily be matched again, easy to call a precedent like this special. As a matter of fact, the Bush administration's argument in the New York Supreme Court case is that state's rights should be overruled in this situation because the nuclear issue is so special. That's why they're overruling state's rights. Again, that ruling is in favor of power companies. We're trying to say this is special, special situation, a very special precedent, in favor of the people. No definition of county or community, it's been defined all along as county and we're going to give them a definition. No previous Legislature could ever bind this Legislature. We're going to make a definition. They asked for it, we'll give it to them. Folks already voted on the 402 campaign. Nowhere in the 402 did it say should a low-level facility be located in your community. Nowhere did it say that. To ask for investigation was community consent. Boyd County Commissioners resolution for inquiry into the situation specifically stated that this was not consent. Most of them said that. Richard Patton said, well, at first it wasn't but later it was. At first the inquiry to be investigated was in consent and then they're just vague, but next time he talked now, yeah, we're taking that as consent. It wasn't and now it is. That's how that worked. Chaos, if the vote falls, what happens if the facility falls? I've got the transcript if anyone would care to read it, right here, Rich Patton discussing what happens when this...when something goes wrong. We go back to square one, we look at all the issues and we decide again all the way up to a new developer. It's right here in legislative transcript if you would like to read it. The situation is in place, if the license fails, to readdress it. If the vote should fail, the same situation would kick in and take place. That argument also doesn't hold any water. Lawsuits against the State of Nebraska, for what reason? For doing what we've been allowed to do? For bending over backwards ourselves in good faith effort to site this facility as the compact commission continued to deny access to information,