

SENATOR ROBINSON: Yeah, I'm not making a value judgment, I'm just.... But I think what...there's some truth to...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR ROBINSON: ..what Senator Haberman says and I...if not, we're buying a pig in the poke.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Robinson. Senator Hillman. I do not see Senator Hillman. Senator Schmit. Don't see Senator Schmit. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. I think, I...in my mind at least, this is the last time I'll speak on this. I want to bring it back to at least to my original dividing the Lamb amendment. What we had before us was an amendment that had three major parts. One was the hold harmless, which we agreed to. The second we agreed to and then there was this section. What I wanted to do is say, wait a minute now, we've got some areas that we should at least talk about and make sure this is truly what we want to do. We should divide that question so that we can have a good discussion and so we can clearly see what's going to happen, rather than doing the one vote doing everything. And I think that was a fair and legitimate thing to do for the Legislature. That's why the question was divided. Actually, I had not decided yet how I was going to vote on the particular measure. I had some concerns and, quite honestly, in listening to the debate this morning, I very well may favor the advancement of this particular proposal. It does, Senator Schrock, make some points, that it does make sense that if you have the students now that you should be able to try to put that into your needs immediately rather than waiting for two years. But the one thing that I wanted to make sure that the body understood policywise is that if it takes place in '92-93, there will be school districts that this shift will occur, and it is a shift. It will simply go from some school districts to another that will have to budget for that now because we're talking about '92-93. It's not something they're going to be able to wait another year and do so. They're going to have to work through that now. They're not going to have to go to a vote of the people if they lose 19 percent or so of their state aid because their, basically, needs are staying the same, the lid proportion is staying the same, but they are going to have to find either additional funding