

For that a number of parliamentarians, people in Parliament, over 140 signed a statement insisting that he step down, and he did. So these types of things happen with the police all over the world. And when you see at the federal level, because that's where the articles will be written, of the abuses by law enforcement officers, the enactment of laws by Congress that steadily erode the rights of citizens, warrantless searches, being arrested on a suspicion or a good faith belief, and you can't read the cop's mind. Then we come to a bill like this at the state level, where, in the interest of fighting drunk drivers, civil liberties are eroded. The day will come when it will strike somebody who is a member of this Legislature or a member of their family, and they'll say, I didn't know it would happen to me. This is me. Well you should be treated just like everybody else. If the laws that the Legislature enacts allows police officers to abuse anybody out there, we're the first ones who should be abused. Those chickens should come home and roost on our shoulders, in our homes, and affect our children, because we don't seem to care if it affects others. So all that has to be done by the authoritarians is to hold up some kind of item, or subject, or type of behavior that people fear, and under the guise of fighting that thing which people fear, all kinds of evils are perpetrated by the government. I saw where the World Herald, with its backward, high bound editorial staff, praised the Supreme Court, a majority of them, for overturning the conviction of a Nebraska farmer who purchased child pornography. What should it make...why should it make a difference if he was coaxed into doing it by the government? He did that which is wrong. So put him in jail, punish him, ruin his reputation in his neighborhood, let him lose his job as a little school bus driver.

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Because the government did it and the government is fighting child pornography. And anybody who opposes the government doing this is in favor of child pornography, that was the government's position. But the Supreme Court, for some reason, I don't know what they were on, maybe Clarence Thomas had a little of that stash that he used to use and let four of them smoke it with him, and all of a sudden they decided, hey, this is unconstitutional. But whatever they were smoking made them do the right thing. So when Senator Kristensen says you can't find this in the Bill of Rights or the Constitution, or whatever, what we ought to do is look at what