

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Madam President, and members of the Legislature, to continue back on with our discussion that we had last night with Senator Hall and to bring you up, Senator Hall, I assume, and I will look for you to tell me you're wrong, I assume your amendment that you've now substituted made references to four sections which also included the implied consents, the commercial driver's license, the financial responsibility and the general authority of the director to revoke. If that's not true, Senator Hall, on your time, I would like you to correct that. I would rise to oppose the amendment for a couple of reasons. One is that the reinstatement fee, the history of the reinstatement, after you have had your operator's license revoked, it is currently at \$50. It was \$25 in 1980. So we have had that increase. We had a 100 percent increase in 1980, 12 years later we also do that. The revocation fees are designed to raise approximately \$650,000. If you stay with Senator Hall's amendment, you're going to have to find \$650,000 somewhere else to make this program work that the Department of Motor Vehicles has proposed. The other thing, and I would guess philosophically address to Senator Hall and maybe a little bit to Senator Nelson is that the people who have their operator's license revoked are the people who are the tax and the drain upon the system. The system is designed to issue those licenses that everybody routinely gets. However, the people who are revoked are the ones that cause a problem for the system. They cause the most amount of disruption. They are the ones that cause all the added burden of staff and of keeping track of those things. So the difference, and I hear philosophically saying, well, you didn't increase everything else 100 percent, this is an area, particularly in revocations, when you're doing it with implied consent, in the drunk driving areas or whether it's on the financial responsibility where you don't have enough money to pay for the damages that you incurred in an accident that was your fault, or at the time you've lost your license on the basis of points. Philosophically, those are the people that should be paying more for the system. And in the past, obviously, you will probably see a handout here that talks about actual cost at \$75, it's been that way for some time and thus if you're looking at should the fee cover the cost of what it takes to do it, we've spent a number of years below what the cost has been. You don't raise fees every year. Obviously, here the last fee increase was 12 years ago at that point that you have...you have to go a little higher and over the period of time it tends to balance itself out. And so I think that's the justification for doing it. I do not hear Senator Hall saying