

PRESIDENT MOUL: There are no objections, it will be so ordered.

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Hall would move to amend the committee amendments. (See FA372 on page 2015 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President, and members, thank you, Mr. Clerk. The issue before us when we left LB 1092 was the issue of the reinstatement fee for purposes of a license that's been revoked. In other words, an individual because of points has lost their license, under the current law it is a \$50 reinstatement fee. That \$50 is there to cover costs for...from the Department of Motor Vehicles as it relates to impounding that license, basically, canceling it, if you will, for purposes of use by the license holder. What then takes place is that during that period of revocation, once it's ended, the licensee has the ability or the responsibility to prove that they have met the criteria, whatever they may be, for purposes of allowing reinstatement, and then on top of that they have to pay, under the committee amendments, \$100. We take and we increase the fee by 100 percent from 50 to \$100. The reason I needed to substitute the amendment was that the first amendment that I offered did not include the commercial license holder. Senator Hillman pointed that out to me last night as we were leaving and I had only touched on the individual or the noncommercial license holders, so this amendment would just reduce the revocation fee for the proposed \$100 back to the standard 50 in both the individual license as well as the commercial license. With that, Madam President, I would urge adoption of the amendment. I don't believe that there is...you know, although I understand the need for revenue on the part of the Department of Motor Vehicles, I think that the \$100 fee on top of the revocation period that the individual has gone through is going to basically just compound the problem. What you're going to see is folks who do not have the ability to pay for that revocation fee, so they will continue to drive without a license and then they will continue to be issued tickets and it will be a never-ending cycle. The \$50 fee should be efficient enough, it should be effective enough, it should be substantial enough to cover the cost that the Department of Motor Vehicles incurs for impounding and holding that license. We only charge presently \$10 for a new license to be issued. It does not make