

the basis of points, no matter what form or fashion they generated those points, they have lost their license and yet we are going to say now, since you have lost your license, in order to get it back, it is going to cost you \$100. That means when you go in, and if it is the examples that Senator Kristensen gave of the individual who doesn't have their insurance, who hasn't met whatever kind of requirements necessary, they have to prove that before they are even eligible. And then if the bottom line is they have to write a check for \$100 in order to receive that back, what justification is there for that \$100. In many cases, these individuals are going to be the types of folks who, for one reason or another, can't afford the \$100, so they are not going to pick up their license. And then what is going to happen, they are going to be picked up for driving without a license, or on a suspended license, whatever the case may be. The fact is if the fee becomes too great so that individuals find it punitive, I would argue that in this case, it is a punitive measure, when you increase it a hundredfold, from 50 to 100 dollars, but yet, down when we look at the banks in terms of the liens on the titles, we only raise the lien from 3 to 7 dollars on the title, and they only make up approximately a little under \$800,000 of the total here with regard to new fees that are raised. The issue ought to be one of justification. I understand the department needs new revenues. I do not understand the justification to date, and I will wait to hear the explanation on the amendment, because all the amendment does is reinstate the stricken material, and leaves the fee for reinstatement of a lost license at \$50,....

SENATOR CONWAY: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...which is far and away the largest fee here now. It appears that \$27 is the greatest fee for any issue with regard to the purchase of a license or purchase of message plates, so it is fully almost one-half the cost of any additional or any other fee that is in place here in this handout, as it appears, in some cases, ten times as great as others. So I mean if there is a justification with regard to the cost associated with it, I guess that argument is yet to be made, but the \$50 fee to 100 is nothing more than the language that came out of the bill as it was introduced, and I don't think that it has been justified, and I don't think it can be when you are talking about \$100 for reinstatement, when basically it amounts to a clerical operation that is currently being handled under the \$50 fee.