

the position of how you make up the shortfall if it does occur. We only address the shortfall that has already been created by past cases. The bottom line of it all is that if all this discussion is aimed at defeating CA 1, which as Senator Haberman summed up his position at the end, bear in mind that the most likely thing, in my opinion, that is going to occur is all property that was affected by the Supreme Court decision here will remain on the tax rolls at market value. And if agriculture is going to take a shock, that is a supreme shock, probably \$40 million which they cannot absorb. That's really what we are talking about. The thing in the whole issue that bothers me a lot are those of you who are opposed to CA 1, what you would like to see done, in my opinion, can only be done if CA 1 passes. You wait till fall,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: ...the November election, you are going to be faced with levies having already been set, you are going to be faced with computation of taxes, you are going to have a whole number of problems, and we may well have problems anyway for this year for a special session. That depends on what the court does with LB 829, but that is not the issue at all. As a matter of fact, if that comes out the way it possible will come out, then CA 1 is the only response. You may not know that until after the primary. And I would urge that Senator Moore's amendment be rejected because it flies in the face of equal treatment. The only nonequal treatment that is in CA 1 is where the federal supremacy...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WARNER: ...requires us to follow those requirements over and above our own state laws.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Warner. Seeing no other lights, do you have closing, Senator Moore?

SENATOR MOORE: (Mike off) members, Senator Warner, you mentioned my amendment flies in the fact of equal treatment, and the definition you use when you make that statement would be CA 1? The definition, you just finished by saying that my amendment flies in the face of equal treatment. And the definition you are using when you say that I am assuming must be CA 1?