

to sit back and scratch their heads on this. The total structure of the track between here and Kansas City will always be there, I hope, and it is pretty permanent, but the components that make up that structure, the rail and the ties, and the ballast even, is continually changing. We do the same thing with the rock, the bed. We go in there with machines, load it up, clean it, put it back, or load it into a car and move it somewhere else, dump it. That real property, as we are currently defining it, is continually in the state of flux and change. So this should cause us a lot of concern, I think, and we need to be comfortable with that, that definition, and whether it will...the definition as real will continue to be real in the future or not. It is something we cannot avoid thinking about at this time. Because if you can guess how the court would ultimately rule on that, you should be working in Las Vegas, not out here. I am concerned about this amendment because of the questions and the confidence that with which my questions were answered, that I had no concerns on the original bill, and now all of a sudden, again, there are concerns, so I question whether we need this amendment or whether we should just run with LB 1063 with the original confidence we had in it when we were debating it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Morrissey. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, Madam President, members, you know, oftentimes Senator Warner seems to be the, today at least, and oftentimes has been the major person speaking in defense of this measure. Maybe some of the things I personally say aren't directed to him but he perceives they to be, and some of the adjectives that I used may be strong. That is kind of the way I am. I am not going to apologize for that, but as far as if the word "deal" offends someone, maybe that's not...maybe that sounds too sinister, but you describe anyone that says that I threaten to sue you, you say but before people have always said, I don't care if you sue me, it is all right, but now all of a sudden when they threaten to sue, you go somewhere and you decide something, it has a monetary impact, you walk away from it, I don't know what adjective you want to use to describe that. It could be an arrangement. It could be a compromise. I don't know what you want to use, or you could just say it is wise tax policy, that is fine, too. But I don't think it sells. I mean, something has got to give. You know when...I guess the reason that I turn up an octave is that in November and December and January and February and March, I continued to say