

April 3, 1992

LB 719A

SENATOR HALL: Correct.

SENATOR WARNER: Could you comment, would you...

SENATOR HALL: Sure.

SENATOR WARNER: ...maybe later we could make an interim report instead of the final report for that date, so, at least, then we can monitor its progress and see how it is coming, at a minimum.

SENATOR HALL: That added, Senator Warner, I would have no objection to that. I believe that it, for my purposes, I know we had talked about this, you and I directly, that it was...there was a question whether or not the December 1 date would be able to be achieved, and that one of the things that...I have no problem stating that for the record, that the...

SENATOR CONWAY PRESIDING

SENATOR CONWAY: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...December 1, 1992, time frame would not necessarily have to be a completed final report, and if the language needs to be changed to adjust for that, if it is mandatory right now, and I will take a look at it, I would have no problem doing that.

SENATOR WARNER: Okay, then I will just assume that you will make that...have such an amendment, I won't pursue it,...

SENATOR HALL: Okay.

SENATOR WARNER: ...if it looks desirable. Thank you.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Senator Warner. Next is Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I rise in general support of the amendment. I am not convinced on every aspect of it, but as far as the general goal, if the bugs can be worked out, I think the goals that Senator Hall is trying to achieve are reasonable ones. The study and the work in trying to reappraise and to get intercounty equalization is a