

April 3, 1992

LB 1241A

language of centralizing our lease process under DAS will be in the bill. There will still be some appropriation dealing with the particular Stone Building up in Norfolk will be contained in the A bill now. Obviously, one of the things that will no longer be contained in it will be the, some of the intent language that was originally in the bill. As outlined by the AG's opinion, references to the Exec Board, there may be a problem there and so there is just a straight appropriation of \$300,000 in the A bill and, obviously, DAS will make a decision if the renovation costs more than that, that's...they are at the liberty to make a decision, but this would simply just say that \$300,000 is appropriated from the Vacant Building Fund and from the other fund as well. So with that, I ask for the adoption of Senator Peterson's amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Moore. Further discussion, Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry, I apologize to Senator Moore and Senator Peterson. Senator Peterson, can you explain your amendment again?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Peterson.

SENATOR PETERSON: Senator Wesely, what this does, there seemed to be a problem in regards to this appropriation in the regular bill. So what we're doing, and probably this is where it should be anyway, have taken the appropriation out of the bill and put it in the appropriations bill for renovation of the building up there which will not be General Fund money, but, which DAS wants to do, but we have to have this in the language so they can renovate this building, plus DAS will be in charge of all the buildings after this.

SENATOR WESELY: Are you still discussing the Stone Building?

SENATOR PETERSON: Yes.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay. Do you change this so that they must renovate or is it...I thought originally you talked about reviewing whether it could be renovated for 300,000. Does that change?

SENATOR PETERSON: This will leave it at the discretion of DAS up to 300,000.