

a change, making a shift in this area, adding onto the time that we're going to allow these districts to sit out there before they, in effect, have to move, before changes in terms of valuation in a district have to be addressed, then we ought to look at reducing the percentage that we're willing to guarantee. That's all it does. It says we're going to go from 100 to 80 percent. Either make the shifts or take the 80 percent, in any case, we guarantee at least that as a minimum. I, again, would urge adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Mr. President, and members, I, of course, rise to oppose the amendment by Senator Hall. This...you know, my opinion does not make a lot of sense at all, and I would just hope, I would just hope that we would be able to vote this issue up or down, let it go one way or the other. We, of course, can continue to put amendments on there and never get to a vote which is one way to do it. I would hope that would not happen. I guess I would urge Senator Hall to withdraw his amendment and let's vote on the issue and if you want to hold harmless, okay. If you don't want to hold harmless, then let's vote it down, one way or the other, but not continue the amendment process which I don't think makes a lot of sense.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Robak. Senator Robak. Senator Hall, next light.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members, again, I rise to support the amendment. Senator Lamb argues that the amendment process doesn't make sense. I would agree, Senator Lamb, and your amendment that's in front of us is the least sensible of the group. The issue that you're asking us to do with seven days left in the session in terms of a \$7 million proposal is one that doesn't make sense. It's the one that shouldn't be before us. It doesn't even deserve an up or down vote at this stage of the debate, at this stage of the session. It shouldn't be in front of us and that's the purpose for my offering amendments to it. If we're going to deal with it, let's deal with the entire program. Let's look at the whole concept behind it and why we did it. We guaranteed 100 percent to those school districts. We said we would be willing to allow for them to have three years to work things out. Now we're coming in, in 1992, on April 7th with seven days left in the session, and we're going to say we are going to add an additional two years