

a minority where the shift goes toward and here we are running, trying to quiet all of those voices that come out when they have a little bit of sting when the tax comes their way. How are we ever going to clean up the mess we've got with our personal property tax if we as a group always run when a few voices come out of the dark saying you can't do this, you can't do this. We'll never make it, ladies and gentlemen. We need to get this change on the road somewhere, some how. Perhaps when the constitutional amendment fails in this next primary election we'll be here realizing that we can't listen to all of the special interests in this state, we can't listen to very many of them. We'll have to do what's right and get this thing straightened out. Personal property as a class cannot be taxed equitably. It can't be taxed without...with...in fairness. You can't have an ad valorem tax on personal property that is fair. It's just not possible. So I would ask you very seriously to make this one small change and not indefinitely postpone this bill.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Elmer. Senator Robak. Are there sufficient seconds to call the question? There are. We will now vote on the motion to call the question. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted on the motion to cease debate? Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 1 nay to cease debate, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: We have ceased debate. Senator Chambers, for closing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I'll be as brief as I can. Once again this is a bill to encourage the purchase of new cars and luxury cars because those purchasers are the ones who will gain the most under this bill. Oh, and the only one to support this bill was the lobbyist for the new car and truck dealers. They want to encourage people to buy more cars by shifting the taxes that they would pay to those who have older cars from three to ten years old. That's what they want to do and I can understand it because they want to benefit their industry and those they represent. But for those of us who have to drive these cars for a period of years and who spend money trying to keep them in shape we should not be penalized by having to pay more in taxes as the car gets older proportionately speaking than we pay now.