

in the lower brackets are going to pay more and that is unfair. And during this season when people are talking about trying to bring some kind of equity into the payment of taxes, this is the wrong bill at any time, but particularly and especially at this time. So I'm asking that you vote to indefinitely postpone this bill and it will be the up or down vote that Senator Conway wants. If we vote it, we vote for the kill motion, we can tell Senator Conway that on this bill we were the last ones to let him down.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Conway.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Madam President, members, I rise in opposition to the IPP motion. I think that, again, we can, by doing such we are falling short of our obligation of addressing this in a way where it's straight up. There is always the argument that it was dispensed with for expediency sake or to move on to another bill. There are all kinds of other arguments may come up rather than the actual vote, so I don't think it does tell us the actual story. There is a great deal of similarity and it does raise money and it raises money out in the rural counties and that money out in those rural counties for the most part, or for, in totality stay in those rural counties. There is a tax shift. There is a tax shift away from real estate onto automobiles and any county where there is an increase, and I have the schedule here if anybody wants to look at their county can see what the impact is in their particular county. I have had many complaints over the years in terms of many people who are living in a community that have automobiles and are not committed to a great extent in that community support by virtue of their not having much real estate holdings. We've all heard those kinds of complaints. I think it's very similar to 1063. There is not one additional new dollar being generated because the budgets are set in the counties, the cities and the schools and those budgets are set just like we argued 1063. Any change, any shift simply still gets divided into that same budget so there is no new money. The question is, as Senator Chambers alluded to, it's a shift. It's a shift within your county from real estate onto automobiles if, in fact, there is an increase. It goes one step beyond that if that's the goal of some people is to shift from real estate onto other things, is that it also provides what I think is a fairer system. It's not a question of the luxury car versus the compact or the general car. The question is the extent to which we are finding a system and spreading out that amount of burden