

Council, program 122, to carry out the provisions of this study. Obviously, the \$75,000 is, you know, if you're going to ask me if it will cost that much, I will not say it will cost that much. Obviously, if we do not need to spend that amount of money, it's certainly my intent that next year we lapse that money back to the Department of Insurance cash fund or whatever. But this would sufficiently generate sufficient funds for those three committees, conduct that, what will probably be somewhat of an in depth, at least in my opinion, a trying study in the months to come. So with that I'd ask for adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President, and members. I rise to support Senator Moore's amendment. The issue for me was not whether or not the amendment needed to be offered, just what price range. The spend thrift that I am, I felt that \$75,000 was too much, but Senators Moore and Wesely convinced me that it was the proper amount. Clearly, what I would like to just stress for the record is that it's up to that amount, and that hopefully it will be able to be done for much less. But it is something that clearly needs to be done. The interim study process is the way to do it, to have some support staff that we can generate information that, frankly, we don't have the time from some of our own staffs to do that, or the expertise. We need to make sure that that gets done in order to do this in a proper fashion. So I would urge the body to support the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Does anyone else wish to speak to the amendment? Seeing none, do you have closing, Senator Moore?

SENATOR MOORE: Yes. And as I...I would agree with Senator Hall, it's certainly not my intent to spend that amount of money. And I would hope, that's why I mentioned that if we do not spend it all, and it's my intent that we do not spend it all, that money could be lapsed back. It is up to that amount, even though actually, as far as the amendment reads, that amount is transferred out. But I guess I, myself, don't think it needs to cost that much either. But, if it does not cost that much, it is certainly our intent to transfer it back. We're talking about an in depth study, some have compared it to some of the work done by the 3-R...a 3-R type study. At least Senator Hall,