

a bill that's already passed, 1063. A lot of the discussion that we've had so far, I think, appropriate questions are being asked, but they tend to be more policy oriented questions. And it's my understanding that tomorrow there will be, or some time in the very near future there will be an opportunity to address further policy concerns relating to the personal property question, and I would just suggest to members, not being one that wants to tell people not to debate and discuss things, but a more appropriate time to define exactly what is fertilizer and what isn't, for purposes of the tax, might be tomorrow when that comes up. The other question that I'd just like to address for a second, Senator Haberman talking about \$11 million, and I don't remember if that's the right number or not, but there is a projection that there will be additional revenue collected from business as a result of moving to this depreciation schedule, because of under reporting, or under valuation that has taken place in the past. His question is what happens if that isn't there, will it raise taxes in other areas. No, it won't raise taxes in other areas because this is additional revenue. If there is \$11 million there the other categories of property in the subdivisions taxes will go down. If there is not \$11 million, but rather \$8 million, those other property sources will go down to a lesser extent than they would have otherwise. This is all additional property we're talking about putting on the tax rolls, it's not...we're not talking about taking anything off the tax rolls. And I've had several questions about that concerning another amendment we're talking about doing, what happens if you collect less money from a given taxpayer, won't that raise taxes in other areas. No, it won't, because we're talking about adding to the overall tax valuation in the state by what we did with 1063, and if the numbers don't come up we won't add quite as much in other categories, the property won't get quite the amount of relief or reduction that they would have otherwise received. With that, I would urge you to support the Warner amendment and support the bill.

SENATOR LAMB: At this point we would like to welcome guests of Senator Cudaback. Under the north balcony Ron Larson and Bob Wilson of Kearney. Would you gentlemen stand and be recognized. Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. Just very briefly to go back to the fertilizer thing, and I think we may address that in a little more detail the next time around, other than the A bill. But the figures come about, that