

advance. So consequently, not having that much time, some of the questions I may ask may have already been fixed and I understand that. My first question I guess is on LB 104, as I looked at the committee statement, and I looked at the bill as it originally was written, I believe it had...it called for 500 feet notices to be mailed and the Omaha...the City of Omaha estimated it would cost about \$1.1 million to do the title searches and what have you. That has since been amended, is that correct?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes, that is correct, Senator.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: So the bills, that we are for sure that the bills that are before us now are the bills as if all of the committee amendments have already been agreed do.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: So we will not be discussing these bills separately as to whether we like the committee amendments or not. This is a way of not only getting the bill attached but getting the committee amendments without a debate on that as well, is that correct?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay, the second question is the committee amendments then as apparently we have already agreed to, and I say that somewhat tongue-in-cheek changes it from 500 to 300 feet, is that correct?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yes.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay, now is that the current practice now?

SENATOR HARTNETT: That is the current practice in Omaha.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Then my question is, why do we need to put in statute that which is current practice?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Senator Pirsch is here right now, Senator Bernard-Stevens. It is her bill and so I'd let her answer it.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: That is fine. Senator Pirsch, my