

evaluation is done. Obviously, it does then come back to the Legislature as report and recommendations and alternatives, but it is not a case of a legislative member doing the actual evaluation. It is...that would be done by the professional staff.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, the Evaluation Committee, which is composed of certain specified individuals, that evaluation committee would be the same for each and every performance regardless of the area of jurisdiction, correct?

SENATOR WARNER: For the selection, yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: For the selection. And that particular committee then would have various forms of info with regard to the process and with regard to the report that came out of the professional committee. Is that the way it would work?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes, there are...there is the requirement for possible for interim reports as it is being...as an evaluation is being progressed and then those would go to the legislative committee for any review that would be appropriate at that point.

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Is there...what would you see as the advantages or disadvantages of somehow including particularly committee chairmen, including them in with the Evaluation Committee in terms of reviewing or having a role in reviewing performance audits that related to their subject matter, both in terms of developing a working relationship between the committee and the committee chairperson having knowledge of what's going on and kind of coordinating the functioning of things. Would there be any advantage to that?

SENATOR WARNER: No, I would...in fact, that's the system we have now under the Performance Review and Audit Committee is to sub...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WARNER: ..subject matter or the chairman of a subject matter is a participant and I have...I would agree, I have no problem with a chairman of a Standing Committee being a part of