

March 26, 1992 LB 145

work, and if you choose to support it that's fine. If it doesn't get adopted, I'll be glad to work with him on Select File and we'll work on it further. But I do think again that the bill is in pretty good shape and is in a position to be moved ahead, and I'd hope that we have the chance to do that today.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Coordsen, you're next.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. No popcorn this time. There is no fiscal note on this bill, certainly, because I don't think that there can be a reasonable analysis made of the cost, until it would be passed into law and become effective. Have a certain concern. I would ask if Senator Schimek would respond to a question, please, since she mentioned other states.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Certainly, I mean I'll try.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Well, do you have any information on the number of states that have this that exclude government employees?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: That exclude government employees?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: No, I don't.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Okay, I don't either. I thought you might. The reason I ask that is because of those, I believe Senator Wesely indicated something in excess of 350,000 employees would be covered under this act, with the 100 employee base line. There are approximately 35,000 state employees, including the University of Nebraska and a number of school districts, perhaps public power districts, other entities, some of our larger municipalities who would have more than...more than 100 employees. So there is...could conceivably be a substantial cost to government in the era of part-time employees, unless in fact it is, as most private citizens believe, a substantial number of state employees who are not providing good, useful services. But I would not think that to be the truth, given all