

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I don't care what these people agree to who might have an agenda where they want to get control of this operation because some might want to set up a school which would be recognized as the means by which people are to get this training. So I want you, if you will, to look at page 6 of your bill, and in lines 8 and 9, the Secretary of State will act as a hearing officer in conjunction with complaints filed under the act. Now if the Secretary of State is the moving force and the dominant figure, why should the Secretary of State be the hearing officer for complaints?

SENATOR BEYER: Well, I think that was just the way we drafted it. I don't think there was any ulterior motive or anything behind it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Isn't that a conflict?

SENATOR BEYER: Well, basically, he is doing part of that now.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would the Secretary of State be viewed as impartial when he is having to rule on decisions that he has already made, on programs that he has helped put in place?

SENATOR BEYER: Well, I would hope so.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How would you like to go before somebody who has an interest in keeping everything just like it is? Let's say you are an employee, and you have a grievance against the company, and you have what is called a "union" and the one who is going to be the hearing officer to resolve your complaint, as an employee, is the one who owns the company, would you like that?

SENATOR BEYER: Well, it would be similar to what most companies have set up, that there is people on both sides that hear that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Beyer, I am going to try to make it more direct than that example that I gave. Would you like the one against you whom you have a complaint to be the one to have a hearing on that complaint? If it involved you, is that the way you would want it to be?

SENATOR BEYER: Well, we could probably change that if that is a big concern of yours to have the executive board of the Crime