

now, if we're serious, and that money is to be invested as other money is invested, which the bill says, then I will believe there is sincerity. But if an A bill comes in with less than \$1 million, I don't think there's any sincerity. Secondly, we should remove that provision from the bill that says the Legislature can require money to be taken out of that fund and restore it to the General Fund. By having that provision we are in a position, when the heat's on, to appropriate some money into that fund. Then in the crunch, at the tail end of the session, when people are not paying a lot of attention to everything, we just offer one of those amendments to the appropriation bill that says, in this agency, line such and such, we delete whatever we want to delete from it. And nobody has seen that as they have seen maybe the very high profile discussion on the floor about actually appropriating money into this fund. I want to see what that A bill says. And Senator Withem, I don't see how it could be anything less than \$1 million if we're really going to fund the bill. If we say let's wait until closer to 1993 to do this, then we'll see that it was a campaign maneuver for right now, designed to try to derail the term limit train, which is not going to happen. And the term limit proposition may not succeed anyway. But whether it does or not, I would hate to see it influence what we do in the Legislature to the point where we pass a bill that professes to limit spending when it doesn't. This bill does not limit anybody's spending in any way. You can spend, if you're running for the Legislature, \$50 billion and you're not in violation of this law. If...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the candidates decide to go over the \$50,000 limit, then people might become aware of it and say with all this obscene spending, how can this happen, I thought the Legislature passed a spending limit bill, then they come to you all, who support it, and you say, well, well we did. They say, what was the limit? Fifty thousand dollars. Well, each one spent 200,000. You say, well, it really wasn't a limit, it was a suggestion. Then they say, you're just like Congress, they didn't balance their real checks, you are writing checks on a nonexistent account when it comes to these limits, and the dishonesty is out there and exposed. The bill does not do what it professes to do. I'm not going to vote against it at this...wait a minute. It depends on what my conscience tells me when time comes to touch that little button. But I know that I