

contributions, that person is beholden to the contributor and, in general, I agree with that. But what about people who have jobs with organizations and companies that do considerable business with the Legislature? Why is there no automatic indication that they probably are carrying out the will of their employer? Oh, Jesus said, you cannot serve two masters. You will either love one and hate the other. So do those principles apply across the board or do we discuss them only in the context of a bill that sounds good...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR CROSBY: ...but may not do anything of substance.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Conway. Senator Conway. Senator Crosby.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Madam President. Just quickly, Senator Brad Ashford, could I ask you a couple of questions because the other day when we were discussing this, I did pay attention and had even had some phone calls about it, but I was told this to start with, that PACs, for instance, even though the big PAC couldn't give a certain amount of money, could form local PACs and they could give a certain amount in each local PAC to add up to a big total, correct? Is that true?

SENATOR ASHFORD: No.

SENATOR CROSBY: Even with this amendment?

SENATOR ASHFORD: No, they could not.

SENATOR CROSBY: And then, well, what about like just corporations who have the offices all over the state, they could not do that?

SENATOR ASHFORD: No, no, and the reason for that, Senator Crosby, is because we have an aggregate limit in this amendment of \$20,000...

SENATOR CROSBY: Well, you change...you put in the...

SENATOR ASHFORD: Aggregate.

SENATOR CROSBY: Okay.