

March 23, 1992

LB 1272
LR 291

1,000 residents located near the Lewis and Clark Dam and Gavins Point. In fact, the people of Crofton just completed the development of a golf course and this is just southwest of Gavins Point overlooking the Lewis and Clark Lake. At the present time a new \$3.5 million, seven to twelve grade school is being built and it should be completed in time to open up this fall. The community relies on agriculture, recreation, and its residents for its livelihood, known as the friendliest town by a dam sight, the community is currently preparing to lay out the welcome mat to visitors attending their centennial July 3, 4 and 5, and at this time I want to issue an invitation to all of you folks to attend this centennial on the 4th of July holiday. I urge the adoption, a move for the adoption of this resolution. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hefner. Does anyone wish to speak to this resolution? Seeing none, we will now vote on LR 291. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 22 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on adoption of LR 291.

PRESIDENT MOUL: LR 291 is adopted. We now proceed with Item 6 on the agenda, General File, LB 1272.

CLERK: Madam President, LB 1272 was discussed by the Legislature on Friday. It was a bill originally introduced by Senators Ashford and Moore. (Read title.) There were amendments considered including committee amendments, an amendment to the bill by Senators Bernard-Stevens, Rasmussen and Crosby. When the Legislature adjourned Senator Moore had pending an amendment, Madam President, FA318. That amendment is now pending before the Legislature.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Madam President, and members, as we adjourned on Friday, obviously the last motion I filed was a motion that I think kind of pointed out some of the inconsistencies in the arguments of the proponents of the Bernard-Stevens amendment. If the reason you voted for that amendment was because people had somehow been unaware of certain things in 1059. If that's the reason you voted for the Bernard-Stevens amendment, then to be consistent and to right the wrong at least, if you were of the opinion something wrong was done, which I do not concur